

# Quantum Mechanical treatment of para-<sup>①</sup>magnetism:-

In classical theory, it was assumed that permanent mag. moment of a given atom/ion rotates freely and can possess any orientation wrt applied magnetic field. but in qm. theory, magnetic moments ( $\mu$ ) are quantized, its component  $\mu_z$  in the direction of magnetic field cannot have arbitrary values and it is related with angular momentum ( $J$ ), as

$$\mu = -g \mu_B J \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\mu_B = \text{Bohr magneton} = \frac{e\hbar}{2m} \text{ --- SI units}$$
$$= \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \text{ --- cgs units}$$

$g$  = Lande's  $g$  factor

$$g = 1 + \frac{J(J+1) + S(S+1) - L(L+1)}{2J(J+1)}, \text{ where}$$

$S$  = spin quantum no.

$L$  = orbital quantum no. of the dipoles.

$\mu_z$  can have possible components of  $\mu$  along the field direction are given by

②

$$\mu_z = -g \mu_B m_j \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$m_j = -J, -J+1, \dots, J-1, J$  is the magnetic quantum number associated with  $J$ .  
range of  $m_j$  ( $-J$  to  $J$ )

For each value of  $J$ ,  $m_j$  can have  $(2J+1)$  values.  $\therefore \mu$  can have  $(2J+1)$  orientations relative to the field.

The P.E of such a magnetic dipole in the presence of magnetic field  $B$ , is given by  $E = m_j g \mu_B B$  [as,  $E = \mu B$ ]  
--- (4)

Acc to Maxwell Boltzmann distribution, the number of atoms having a particular value of  $m_j$  is then proportional to

$$e^{-m_j g \mu_B B / k_B T} \quad [ \because e^{-E/k_B T} ]$$

Considering a unit volume of paramagnetic material containing a total of  $N$  atoms, the magnetisation in the direction of the field is given by

③

$M = N \times$  (statistical average of the magnetic moments component per atom along B)

$$M = N \sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} \frac{-m_j g \mu_B e^{-m_j g \mu_B B / k_B T}}{e^{-m_j g \mu_B B / k_B T}} \quad \text{--- (5)}$$

Considering two special cases:

(i) At normal flux densities and ordinary temperatures i

$$\frac{m_j g \mu_B B}{k_B T} \ll 1$$

eq (5) can be approximated as

$$M = N \frac{g \mu_B \sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} -m_j \left(1 - \frac{m_j g \mu_B B}{k_B T}\right)}{\sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} \left(1 - \frac{m_j g \mu_B B}{k_B T}\right)} \quad \left[ e^{-x} \text{ using } 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2!} \dots \right]$$

$$M = N g \mu_B \frac{\left( \sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} m_j + \sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} m_j^2 \frac{g \mu_B B}{k_B T} \right)}{\sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} 1 - \sum_{m_j = -J}^{+J} m_j \cdot \frac{g \mu_B B}{k_B T}}$$

④

Now,  $\sum_{m_J=-J}^{+J} m_J = 0$  ;  $\sum_{m_J=-J}^{+J} 1 = (2J+1)$

$$\sum_{m_J=-J}^{+J} m_J^2 = 2 \sum_{m_J=0}^{+J} m_J^2 = \frac{J(J+1)(2J+1)}{3}$$

$$\therefore M = N \frac{g^2 \mu_B^2 B}{k_B T} \frac{J(J+1)(2J+1)}{3}$$

$$\boxed{M = \frac{N g^2 \mu_B^2 B J(J+1)}{k_B \cdot T}} \quad \text{--- (6)}$$

$$\chi_{para} = \frac{M}{H} = \frac{\mu_0 N \mu_B^2 g^2 J(J+1)}{3 k_B T} \quad \text{--- (7)} \quad B = \mu_0 H$$

~~If we put  $\mu_B^2 p_{eff}^2 = \mu^2$~~

Define  $p_{eff} = g \sqrt{J(J+1)}$  = effective number of Bohr magnetons

$$\chi_{para} = \frac{\mu_0 N \mu_B^2 p_{eff}^2}{3 k_B T} \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

If we put  $\mu_B^2 p_{eff}^2 = \mu^2$

$$\boxed{\chi_{para} = \frac{\mu_0 N \mu^2}{3 k_B T}} \quad \text{--- same as classical Langevin's theory result. --- (9)}$$

ii) At low temp and strong magnetic field,  $\frac{m_j g \mu_B B}{k_B T} \gg 1$  (5)

Now, Series expansion of exponential term is not possible. eq (5) can be written as

$$M = N g J \mu_B B_J(x) \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

where,  $x = \frac{g J \mu_B B}{k_B T}$  and  $B_J(x)$  is called Brillouin fn.

$$B_J(x) = \frac{2J+1}{2J} \coth\left(\frac{2J+1}{2J}x\right) - \frac{1}{2J} \coth\left(\frac{x}{2J}\right) \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

for  $x \ll 1$ ,  $\coth x \approx \frac{1}{x} + \frac{x}{3}$

$$\therefore B_J(x) = \frac{x(J+1)}{3J}$$

Therefore, Paramagnetic susceptibility

$$\chi_{\text{para}} = \frac{M}{H} = \frac{N g J \mu_B x (J+1)}{H \cdot 3J}$$

Putting the value of  $x$

$$\chi_{\text{para}} = \frac{N g J \mu_B g \cdot J \mu_B B (J+1)}{H \cdot k_B T \cdot 3J}$$

$$B = \mu_0 H$$

$$\chi_{\text{para}} = \frac{\mu_0 N g^2 \mu_B^2 J(J+1)}{3 k_B T}$$

$$\chi_{\text{para}} = \frac{\mu_0 N \mu_{\text{eff}}^2}{3 k_B T} \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

⑥ which is same as eq ⑧  
 Now, for  $x \gg 1$ ,  $\coth x \approx 1$   
 $B_J(x) \approx 1$

eq ⑩ becomes  
 $M = NgJ\mu_B$

This implies, the state of magnetic saturation  
 is all the dipoles get aligned along the  
 magnetic induction  $B$ .

$\therefore$  we can infer from eq ⑩ is similar  
 to Langevin's expression with only difference  
 is that in classical Langevin's theory  
 dipoles can be oriented in all possible  
 directions. i.e.  $J \rightarrow \infty$

for large number of allowed orientation  
 of a magnetic dipole, we have

$$\coth\left(\frac{x}{2J}\right) \rightarrow \frac{2J}{x}$$

$$\text{and } \coth\left(1 + \frac{1}{2J}\right)x \rightarrow \coth x$$

$$\therefore \text{ eq ⑪ is } B_J(x) = \frac{2J+1}{2J} \coth x - \frac{1}{2J} \cdot \frac{2J}{x}$$

$$B_J(x) \approx \coth x - \frac{1}{x}$$

$B_J(x) \approx L(x)$  - Langevin's function

$\therefore$  quantum result approaches the classical  
 ones.  $\chi$  para of a solid estimated from eq ⑫  
 at room temp.  $\approx 10^{-7}$ , which is quite small.  $\equiv$